Hacked? Don’t waste time pointing fingers
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In June 2017, the Ponemon Institute released its annual Cost of Data Breach Study. The report underscores that a company’s failure to recover from a cybersecurity incident quickly and efficiently can increase damages and costs. According to the report, within the United States, the average cost for each lost or stolen record containing sensitive and confidential information is $225. The average total cost for organizations that participated in the study was $7.35 million.

The Ponemon Institute also reported that the time it takes for a company to identify and contain data breaches affects the total cost of the data breach. If it took less than 30 days for a company to contain a data breach, the cost to contain the breach was $5.87 million. If it took 30 days or longer to contain the breach, then the cost increased to $8.83 million. Additionally, data breaches caused by malicious or criminal attacks took the longest for a company to detect and contain, at an average of 303 days to identify and contain.

The report also highlights the hidden costs of a data breach, the internal resources that companies use to deal with a data breach. This includes the time employees spend on investigations of the incident or data breach notification efforts, as well as loss of brand value and reputation and customer churn. In 2017, of the $225 average cost for each lost or stolen record, $79 was attributed to indirect costs.

The Ponemon Institute’s annual report demonstrates that focusing on attribution after suffering a data breach is a waste of time, money, and other resources. Law enforcement have both the training, resources and experience to identify the actors responsible for cybersecurity incidents and data breaches that companies simply don’t have. Additionally, identifying the actor responsible for the cybersecurity incident does not help the company recover from the attack. Knowing who was responsible for the data breach will not help the company get back to day-to-day operations. Companies should focus on containment of the cybersecurity incident, restoring any impacted operations as quickly as possible, and preventing a similar cybersecurity incident from occurring in the future. Rather than answering the whodunit, companies should get answers to the following questions, retaining a forensic expert if necessary:

- What controls failed?
- How did the controls fail?
- How can we restore our systems and any information that was compromised?
- Do we need to notify consumers and any government agencies?
- What controls should be updated, replaced or changed to prevent this incident, or a similar incident, from occurring again.
- Is there a third-party vendor, or some other party who may be liable for the breach? If so, should we file a legal claim?
- Do we have cyber insurance? If so, is there is a dispute over the coverage?

Handling the legal fallout from a data breach is an important aspect of recovery that can get lost when a company devotes too many resources to attribution.

Companies should consider using arbitration or mediation to resolve data-breach-related disputes, as they allow for the dispute to be confidential and, with the right arbitrator or mediator, can save the parties significant time and money.

The key is using an arbitrator or mediator who understands the technology and the law. The arbitrator or mediator can then cut to heart of the technical and legal issues at play to resolve the dispute efficiently and help the company stay on the path to remediation.

By directing their energy towards identifying and recovering from a cybersecurity incident, companies can mitigate the amount of time, money and resources needed to recover from a breach.
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